

To: Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]
Cc: Anna Weber[Anna.Weber@cadmusgroup.com]; McWhirter, Lisa[McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov]
From: Shari Ring
Sent: Mon 12/14/2015 6:33:21 PM
Subject: RE: Tentative Alon aquifer exemption meeting

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Dermer, Michele [mailto:Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:58 PM
To: Shari Ring <Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com>
Cc: Anna Weber <Anna.Weber@cadmusgroup.com>; Smith, Robert-Eu <Smith.Robert-Eu@epa.gov>; McWhirter, Lisa <McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Tentative Alon aquifer exemption meeting

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Shari Ring [mailto:Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com]
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:18 AM
To: McWhirter, Lisa <McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>
Cc: Dermer, Michele <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>; Anna Weber

<Anna.Weber@cadmusgroup.com>; Smith, Robert-Eu <Smith.Robert-Eu@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Tentative Alon aquifer exemption meeting

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: McWhirter, Lisa [<mailto:McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 12:05 PM
To: Anna Weber <Anna.Weber@cadmusgroup.com>
Cc: Shari Ring <Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com>; Dermer, Michele
<Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Tentative Alon aquifer exemption meeting

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 4:53 PM
To: McWhirter, Lisa <McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>
Cc: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Tentative Alon aquifer exemption meeting

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Harvey, Dale@Waterboards [<mailto:Dale.Harvey@waterboards.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 4:29 PM
To: Dermer, Michele <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>
Cc: Cregan, Alan@Waterboards <Alan.Cregan@waterboards.ca.gov>; Rodgers, Clay@Waterboards <Clay.Rodgers@waterboards.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Tentative Alon aquifer exemption meeting

Hi Michele. Attached are some informal comments that our staff put together. Additionally, we have heard rumor that the reason Alon wants the exemption is because it intends to ramp-up operations and does not have the needed capacity. In addition to the attached comments regarding the AE application, we are also concerned that the existing injection project that we permitted was based on a specific design life of 20 years, which is long past. We do not know how far the plume associated with this injection has gone. I hope to bring this issue up at the meeting.